## Can we solve Diophantine equations?

David Ang

University College London

Wednesday, 28 May 2025

<ロト < 部ト < 言ト < 言ト こ の Q (や 1/24

# Can you solve this?



Smallest positive whole values:

154476802108746166441951315019919837485664325669565431700026634898253202035277999 36875131794129999827197811565225474825492979968971970996283137471637224634055579 4373612677928697257861252602371390152816537558161613618621437993378423467772036

## **Diophantine equations**

A **Diophantine equation**, named after Diophantus of Alexandria, is a *polynomial* equation with *integer* coefficients in *two or more* unknown variables.



For instance, the equation:

$$\frac{X}{Y+Z} + \frac{Y}{X+Z} + \frac{Z}{X+Y} = 4$$

is essentially equivalent to the polynomial equation:

 $X^{3} + Y^{3} + Z^{3} = 3X^{2}Y + 3XY^{2} + 3X^{2}Z + 3XZ^{2} + 3Y^{2}Z + 3YZ^{2} + 5XYZ$ 

To **solve** a Diophantine equation means to find all its *integer* solutions. Are there any? Can we write one down? Are there infinitely many? Can we generate them systematically? How are they distributed?

### Some examples

Here are some famous Diophantine equations.

• Pythagoras's equation  $X^2 + Y^2 = Z^2$ . This has solutions:

$$X = (m^2 - n^2)k$$
  $Y = 2mnk$   $Z = (m^2 + n^2)k$ 

▶ Pell's equation  $X^2 - nY^2 = 1$  for fixed  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

- For n = 60, the smallest solution is X = 31 and Y = 4.
- For n = 61, the smallest solution is X = 1766319049 and Y = 226153980.
- For n = 62, the smallest solution is X = 63 and Y = 8.
- ▶ Mordell's equation  $Y^2Z = X^3 nZ^3$  for fixed  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
  - For n = -1, the only solutions are  $(-1, 0), (0, \pm 1), (2, \pm 3)$ .
  - For n = 1, the only solutions are (1, 0).
  - For n = 2, 4, 11, there are infinitely many solutions.
  - For  $n = \pm 6, \pm 7$ , there are no solutions.

► The Erdös–Straus conjecture says there are positive integer solutions to  $\frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{z}$  for fixed  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This is still an open problem!

## Sum of three cubes

What  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  can be represented as  $X^3 + Y^3 + Z^3 = n$ ? Does n = 1 work? Yes:

$$1^3 + 1^3 + (-1)^3 = 1$$
  $9^3 + 10^3 + (-12)^3 = 1$  ...

▶ Does n = 16 work? Yes:

 $2^3 + 2^3 + 0^3 = 16 \qquad (-511)^3 + (-1609)^3 + 1626^3 = 16 \qquad \dots$ 

▶ Do all 
$$n \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 work? No:

4, 5, 13, 14, 22, 23, 31, 32, 40, 41, 49, 50, 58, 59, 67, 68, ... all fail

**b** Does 
$$n = 42$$
 work? Yes:

 $12602123297335631^3 + 80435758145817515^3 + (-80538738812075974)^3 = 42$ 

This was only discovered in September 2019!

Does n = 114 work? Nobody knows as of May 2025.

## Fermat's last theorem

In 1637, Pierre de Fermat claimed the following theorem.

### Conjecture (Fermat's last theorem)

The only integer solutions to  $X^n + Y^n = Z^n$  for some n > 2 satisfy XYZ = 0.



"I have discovered a truly marvelous proof of this, which this margin is too narrow to contain."

In 1995, Andrew Wiles published the first complete proof, which involved *very advanced* 20th century mathematics.

I think Fermat was mistaken.

Why are Diophantine equations so difficult?



# Hilbert's tenth problem

In 1900, David Hilbert published a list of 23 unsolved problems ranging over all areas of mathematics.

## Question (Hilbert)

Is there an algorithm to solve any Diophantine equation?

### Answer (Davis, Matiyasevich, Putnam, Robinson) No.

We have to get creative!







## Linear equations

Observe that an integer solution gives a solution modulo n for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### Question

Is there an integer solution to 15X + 21Y = 35?

#### Answer

No, because  $15X + 21Y \equiv 0 \mod 3$ , but  $35 \equiv 2 \mod 3$ .

### Theorem (Bézout's identity)

There is an integer solution to aX + bY = c iff gcd(a, b) | c. Furthermore, there is an algorithm to determine all of its solutions.

#### Proof.

Refer to MATH0006 Algebra 2.

# Bézout's identity

### Question

Can we write down an integer solution to 15X + 21Y = 36?

#### Answer

Yes, because 36 is divisible by gcd(15, 21) = 3. By the division algorithm:

$21 = 1 \cdot 15 + 6$	divide 21 by 15
$15 = 2 \cdot 6 + 3$	divide 15 by 6

By reversing the division algorithm:

$3 = 15 - 2 \cdot 6$	substitute 3
$=15-2\cdot \big(21-1\cdot 15\big)$	substitute 6
$= 3 \cdot 15 - 2 \cdot 21$	rearrange

Thus  $X = \frac{36}{3} \cdot 3 = 36$  and  $Y = \frac{36}{3} \cdot -2 = -24$  works!

## Quadratic equations

Can we do something similar for quadratic equations  $X^2 + Y^2 = b$ ?

### Question

Is there an integer solution to  $X^2 + Y^2 = 7^5$ ?

#### Answer

No, because  $X^2, Y^2 \equiv 0, 1 \mod 4$ , but  $7^5 \equiv 3 \mod 4$ .

### Theorem (Sum of two squares theorem)

There is an integer solution to  $X^2 + Y^2 = b$  iff b is not divisible by a prime congruent to 3 modulo 4 with odd exponent.

### Proof.

Refer to MATH0034 Number Theory.

# Sum of two squares theorem

### Question

Can we write down an integer solution to  $X^2 + Y^2 = 5^3$ ?

#### Answer

Yes, because 5 is a prime congruent to 1 modulo 4. In particular,  $5^3$  is not divisible by any prime congruent to 3 modulo 4 with odd exponent. In the ring of Gaussian integers  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ :

$$5^3 = X^2 + Y^2 = (X + iY)(X - iY)$$

By unique factorisation in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , write  $X \pm iY = (W \pm iZ)^3$ . Then:

$$5^3 = ((W + iZ)(W - iZ))^3 = (W^2 + Z^2)^3$$

Now W = 2 and Z = 1 is an integer solution to  $W^2 + Z^2 = 5$ . Moreover:

$$X + iY = (W + iZ)^3 = (W^3 - 3WZ^2) + i(3W^2Z - Z^3)$$

Thus  $X = W^3 - 3WZ^2 = 2$  and  $Y = 3W^2Z - Z^3 = 11$  works!

4 ロ ト 4 部 ト 4 書 ト 4 書 ト 2 の 4 で
11/24

## Number rings

Can we do something similar for quadratic equations  $X^2 + aY^2 = b$ ?

### Question

Is there an integer solution to  $X^2 + 2Y^2 = 7^2$ ?

#### Answer

Consider the number ring  $R := \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ . Factorise:

$$7^{2} = X^{2} + 2Y^{2} = (X + \sqrt{-2}Y)(X - \sqrt{-2}Y)$$

By unique factorisation in R, write  $X \pm \sqrt{-2}Y = (W \pm \sqrt{-2}Z)^2$ . Then:

$$7^2 = ((W + \sqrt{-2}Z)(W - \sqrt{-2}Z))^2 = (W^2 + 2Z^2)^2$$

There are no integer solutions to  $W^2 + 2Z^2 = 7!$ 

Note that  $W^2 + 2Z^2 > 0$ , so it is easy to rule out solutions.

## Failure of unique factorisation

Solving the quadratic equation  $X^2 + aY^2 = b$  seems to rely on unique factorisation in the ring  $R := \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-a}]$ , but this might fail.

### Examples

▶ In 
$$R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$$
, we have  $6 = 2 \cdot 3 = (1 + \sqrt{-5}) \cdot (1 - \sqrt{-5})$ .  
▶ In  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{10}]$ , we have  $10 = 2 \cdot 5 = \sqrt{10} \cdot \sqrt{10}$ .

The solution is to replace  $X + \sqrt{-a}$  with the **ideal**:

$$\langle X + \sqrt{-a} \rangle := \{ (X + \sqrt{-a})r : r \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-a}] \},$$

This has unique factorisation into **prime ideals** if  $a \neq 3 \mod 4$ .

The failure of unique factorisation into *primes* is measured by the **ideal** class group Cl(R). For some Cl(R), a similar argument still works!

For more details, refer to MATH0035 Algebraic Number Theory.

# Cyclotomic rings

In the 19th century, Ernst Kummer proved Fermat's last theorem for many exponents using this approach.

## Theorem (Kummer)

If p is a regular odd prime, then the only integer solutions to  $X^p + Y^p = Z^p$  satisfy XYZ = 0.

Call a prime *p* regular if it does not divide the size of Cl(R).

His idea was to consider the **cyclotomic ring**  $R := \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  for  $\zeta_p := e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}}$ , where a similar argument works for the factorisation:

$$Z^{p} = X^{p} + Y^{p} = (X + Y) \cdot (X + \zeta_{p}Y) \cdot (X + \zeta_{p}^{2}Y) \cdots (X + \zeta_{p}^{p-1}Y)$$

Conjecturally, about 61% of all primes are regular.



## Rational projective plane

Observe that  $X^n + Y^n = Z^n$  is **homogeneous** of degree *n*.

In particular, this *almost* gives a correspondence:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \{(X,Y,Z)\in\mathbb{Z}^3:X^n+Y^n=Z^n\} & \longleftrightarrow & \{(x,y)\in\mathbb{Q}^2:x^n+y^n=1\}\\ & (X,Y,Z) & \mapsto & (\frac{X}{Z},\frac{Y}{Z})\\ & (xz,yw,wz) & \leftarrow & (\frac{X}{w},\frac{Y}{z}) \end{array}$$

This correspondence is not quite bijective:

- Both (X, Y, Z) and  $(\lambda X, \lambda Y, \lambda Z)$  map to  $(\frac{X}{Z}, \frac{Y}{Z})$ .
- ▶ Where does (*X*, *Y*, 0) map to?

Both of these issues can be fixed by working in the projective plane.

- Replace the left hand side with equivalence classes up to scaling.
- Supplement the right hand side with "points at infinity".

For more details, refer to MATH0076 Algebraic Geometry.

### Fermat curves

By working in the projective plane:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text{integer solutions of} \\ X^n + Y^n = Z^n \end{array}\right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{c} \text{rational solutions of} \\ x^n + y^n = 1 \end{array}\right\}$$

When n = 3, the cubic equation  $x^3 + y^3 = 1$ defines an object in algebraic geometry called an **elliptic curve**, which lives in the projective plane.

In particular, rational solutions of the equation correspond to **rational points** on the curve.

In fact, the fruit equation

 $X^{3} + Y^{3} + Z^{3} = 3X^{2}Y + 3XY^{2} + 3X^{2}Z + 3XZ^{2} + 3Y^{2}Z + 3YZ^{2} + 5XYZ$ 

also defines an elliptic curve!



## Elliptic curves

The set of rational points on an elliptic curve forms an abelian group:



This gives a way to generate new rational solutions from old ones!

## Cubic equations

### Question

Can we write down two rational solutions to  $x^3 - y^2 = 4$ ?

### Answer

This defines an elliptic curve, with a rational solution x = 2 and y = 2. The tangent of  $e(x, y) = x^3 - y^2 - 4$  at the rational point (2, 2) is:

$$\frac{\partial e}{\partial x}(2) \cdot (x-2) + \frac{\partial e}{\partial y}(2) \cdot (y-2) = 0$$

This simplifies as y = 3x - 4, which substitutes into e(x, y) = 0 to yield:

$$0 = x^3 - (3x - 4)^2 - 4 = (x - 2)^2(x - 5)$$

Thus y = 3(5) - 4 = 11, so (5, 11) works!

In fact, adding the rational point (2,2) to itself repeatedly generates the only infinite family of rational solutions to  $x^3 - y^2 = 4$ .

# Mordell's theorem

In 1922, Louis Mordell classified the abstract group structure of rational points on elliptic curves.

## Theorem (Mordell)

The rational points on an elliptic curve can be generated from a finite set of initial rational points.

Associated to an elliptic curve E is a complex-analytic **L**-function  $L_E(s)$ . Conjecture (Birch, Swinnerton-Dyer)

An elliptic curve *E* has infinitely many rational points iff  $L_E(1) = 0$ .

For more details, refer to MATH0036 Elliptic Curves.





## Modular forms

Andrew Wiles proved Fermat's last theorem by studying properties of general L-functions.

Another object with an associated L-function is a **modular form**, which is a highly symmetric function on the upper half  $\mathcal{H}$  of the complex plane.



Conjecture (Shimura, Taniyama, Weil) Elliptic curves are related to modular forms.







## Newforms

The modular forms of interest are the so-called level-N newforms.

These are functions  $f : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  satisfying the **modular condition**:

$$f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^2 \cdot f(z)$$

for any  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that ad - bc = 1 and  $N \mid c$ .

### Theorem (Valence formula)

For fixed N, there are finitely many level-N newforms.

In fact, there are no level-N newforms for:

 $N \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 16, 18, 22, 25, 28, 60\}$ 

For more details, refer to MATH0104 Modular Forms.

## Modularity theorem

Also associated to a modular form f is its **Hecke L-function**  $L_f(s)$ .

Call an elliptic curve E modular if there is a level-N newform f such that  $L_E(s) = L_f(s)$  for some N.

Theorem (Wiles) For squarefree N, all elliptic curves are modular.

Theorem (Breuil, Conrad, Diamond, Taylor) *All elliptic curves are modular.* 











## Fermat's last theorem

Fermat's last theorem can now be deduced from the modularity theorem.

Assume for a contradiction that  $X^n + Y^n = Z^n$  has an integer solution not satisfying XYZ = 0. Consider the elliptic curve *E* given by:

$$y^2 = x(x - X^n)(x + Y^n)$$

This is called the **Frey curve** associated to the triple (X, Y, Z).

The modularity theorem says that E corresponds to a level-N newform f.

Theorem (Ribet) f can be "level-lowered" to a level-2 newform.

There are no level-2 newforms, hence a contradiction!



# Formalising Fermat

My PhD supervisor Kevin Buzzard started a massive project to teach the modularity theorem to a computer.



イロト イヨト イヨト

This means *formally* defining all the relevant objects (elliptic curves, modular forms) and *rigorously* verifying all the details of the proof.

#### https://imperialcollegelondon.github.io/FLT/

This is a *huge* amount of work, and we need *all* the help we can get!

To get started, check out MATH0109 Theorem Proving in Lean!

