Young Researchers in Algebraic Number Theory

Wednesday, 24 August 2022

Formalisation of elliptic curves in Lean

David Kurniadi Angdinata

London School of Geometry and Number Theory

1/56

The Lean theorem prover



<ロト < 部 ト < 言 ト < 言 ト 言 の Q () 2/56

The Lean theorem prover



A functional programming language...

The Lean theorem prover



A functional programming language...

and an interactive theorem prover!

Idea: set theory is replaced by Type Theory.

 $element \in set \implies \texttt{Term}: \texttt{Type}$

Idea: set theory is replaced by Type Theory.

 $element \in set \implies \texttt{Term}: \texttt{Type}$

Can define inductive types.

inductive Nat
| zero : Nat
| succ : Nat → Nat

Idea: set theory is replaced by Type Theory.

 $element \in set \implies \texttt{Term}: \texttt{Type}$

Can define inductive types.

inductive Nat
| zero : Nat
| succ : Nat → Nat

Can define functions recursively.

 $\begin{array}{l} \texttt{def add}: \texttt{Nat} \rightarrow \texttt{Nat} \rightarrow \texttt{Nat} \\ \mid \texttt{n zero} := \texttt{n} \\ \mid \texttt{n} (\texttt{succ} \texttt{m}) := \texttt{succ} (\texttt{add} \texttt{n} \texttt{m}) \end{array}$

<ロト < 部ト < 差ト < 差ト 差 の Q () 7/56

How to prove $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 + n = n$?

How to prove $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 + n = n$?

A theorem is a Type (of type Prop).

theorem zero_add : \forall (n : Nat), add zero n = n :=

How to prove $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 + n = n$?

A theorem is a Type (of type Prop).

theorem zero_add : \forall (n : Nat), add zero n = n :=

A proof of this theorem (if it exists) is the unique Term of this type.

How to prove $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 + n = n$?

A theorem is a Type (of type Prop).

theorem zero_add : \forall (n : Nat), add zero n = n :=

A proof of this theorem (if it exists) is the unique Term of this type.

```
begin
intro n,
induction n with m hm,
{ refl },
{ rw [add, hm] }
end
```

The keywords intro, induction, refl, and rw are tactics.

How to prove $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 + n = n$?

A theorem is a Type (of type Prop).

theorem zero_add : \forall (n : Nat), add zero n = n :=

A proof of this theorem (if it exists) is the unique Term of this type.

```
begin
intro n,
induction n with m hm,
{ refl },
{ rw [add, hm] }
end
```

The keywords intro, induction, refl, and rw are tactics.

Play The Natural Number Game!

Community-driven unified library of mathematics formalised in Lean.

Community-driven unified library of mathematics formalised in Lean.

- algebra
- algebraic_geometry
- algebraic_topology
- analysis
- category_theory
- combinatorics
- computability
- dynamics
- field_theory
- geometry
- group_theory

- information_theory
- linear_algebra
- measure_theory
- model_theory
- number_theory
- order
- probability
- representation_theory

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 三日

- ring_theory
- set_theory
- topology

Community-driven unified library of mathematics formalised in Lean.

- algebra
- algebraic_geometry
- algebraic_topology
- analysis
- category_theory
- combinatorics
- computability
- dynamics
- field_theory
- geometry
- group_theory

- information_theory
- linear_algebra
- measure_theory
- model_theory
- number_theory
- order
- probability
- representation_theory
- ring_theory
- set_theory
- topology

3k files, 1m lines, 40k definitions, 100k theorems, 270 contributors.

Consider the following theorem in group_theory/quotient_group.

```
variables {G H : Type} [group G] [group H]
variables (\varphi : G \to * H) (\psi : H \to G) (h\varphi : right_inverse \ \psi \ \varphi)
def quotient_ker_equiv_of_right_inverse : G / ker \varphi \simeq * H :=
{ to_fun := ker_lift \varphi,
inv_fun := mk \circ \ \psi,
left_inv := ...,
right_inv := h\varphi,
map_mul' := ... }
```

Consider the following theorem in group_theory/quotient_group.

```
variables {G H : Type} [group G] [group H]
variables (\varphi : G \rightarrow* H) (\psi : H \rightarrow G) (h\varphi : right_inverse \psi \varphi)
def quotient_ker_equiv_of_right_inverse : G / ker \varphi \simeq* H :=
 { to_fun := ker_lift \varphi,
 inv_fun := mk \circ \psi,
 left_inv := ...,
 right_inv := h\varphi,
 map_mul' := ... }
```

Why is this a definition?

Consider the following theorem in group_theory/quotient_group.

```
variables {G H : Type} [group G] [group H]
variables (\varphi : G \rightarrow* H) (\psi : H \rightarrow G) (h\varphi : right_inverse \psi \varphi)
def quotient_ker_equiv_of_right_inverse : G / ker \varphi \simeq* H :=
 { to_fun := ker_lift \varphi,
 inv_fun := mk \circ \psi,
 left_inv := ...,
 right_inv := h\varphi,
 map_mul' := ... }
```

Why is this a definition?

Consider an immediate corollary.

```
def quotient_bot : G / (\perp : subgroup G) \simeq^* G := quotient_ker_equiv_of_right_inverse (monoid_hom.id G) id (\lambda _, rfl)
```

Consider the following theorem in group_theory/quotient_group.

```
variables {G H : Type} [group G] [group H]
variables (\varphi : G \rightarrow* H) (\psi : H \rightarrow G) (h\varphi : right_inverse \psi \varphi)
def quotient_ker_equiv_of_right_inverse : G / ker \varphi \simeq* H :=
 { to_fun := ker_lift \varphi,
 inv_fun := mk \circ \psi,
 left_inv := ...,
 right_inv := h\varphi,
 map_mul' := ... }
```

Why is this a definition?

Consider an immediate corollary.

```
\begin{array}{l} \texttt{def quotient\_bot}: \texttt{G} \;/\; (\bot: \texttt{subgroup G}) \simeq^* \texttt{G} := \\ \texttt{quotient\_ker\_equiv\_of\_right\_inverse} \; (\texttt{monoid\_hom.id G}) \; \texttt{id} \; (\lambda \_, \; \texttt{rfl}) \end{array}
```

Why is this not trivial?

Consider the following theorem in group_theory/quotient_group.

```
variables {G H : Type} [group G] [group H]
variables (\varphi : G \rightarrow* H) (\psi : H \rightarrow G) (h\varphi : right_inverse \psi \varphi)
def quotient_ker_equiv_of_right_inverse : G / ker \varphi \simeq* H :=
 { to_fun := ker_lift \varphi,
 inv_fun := mk \circ \psi,
 left_inv := ...,
 right_inv := h\varphi,
 map_mul' := ... }
```

Why is this a definition?

Consider an immediate corollary.

```
def quotient_bot : G / (\perp : subgroup G) \simeq^* G := quotient_ker_equiv_of_right_inverse (monoid_hom.id G) id (\lambda _, rfl)
```

Why is this not trivial?

Canonical isomorphisms are important data!

What generality?

What generality? Ideally, defined abstractly over a scheme or a ring...

What generality? Ideally, defined abstractly over a scheme or a ring... However, mathlib's algebraic geometry is still quite primitive.

What generality? Ideally, defined abstractly over a scheme or a ring... However, mathlib's algebraic geometry is still quite primitive.

Here is a working definition in algebraic_geometry/EllipticCurve.

What generality? Ideally, defined abstractly over a scheme or a ring... However, mathlib's algebraic geometry is still quite primitive.

Here is a working definition in algebraic_geometry/EllipticCurve.

(日) (部) (注) (注) (三)

25 / 56

Accurate for rings R with Pic(R)[12] = 0, such as PIDs!

What generality? Ideally, defined abstractly over a scheme or a ring... However, mathlib's algebraic geometry is still quite primitive.

Here is a working definition in algebraic_geometry/EllipticCurve.

Accurate for rings R with Pic(R)[12] = 0, such as PIDs!

Much can be done just with this definition.

Can define K-points.

```
variables {F : Type} [field F] (E : EllipticCurve F) (K : Type) [field K] [algebra F K]
inductive point
| zero
| some (x y : K) (w : y^2 + E.a<sub>1</sub>*x*y + E.a<sub>3</sub>*y = x^3 + E.a<sub>2</sub>*x^2 + E.a<sub>4</sub>*x + E.a<sub>6</sub>)
notation E(K) := point E K
```

Can define K-points.

```
variables {F : Type} [field F] (E : EllipticCurve F) (K : Type) [field K] [algebra F K]

inductive point

| zero

| some (x y : K) (w : y^2 + E.a_1*x*y + E.a_3*y = x^3 + E.a_2*x^2 + E.a_4*x + E.a_6)

notation E(K) := point E K
```

Can define zero.

instance : has_zero $E(K) := \langle zero \rangle$

Can define K-points.

```
variables {F : Type} [field F] (E : EllipticCurve F) (K : Type) [field K] [algebra F K]
inductive point
| zero
| some (x y : K) (w : y^2 + E.a_1*x*y + E.a_3*y = x^3 + E.a_2*x^2 + E.a_4*x + E.a_6)
notation E(K) := point E K
```

Can define zero.

```
instance : has_zero E(K) := \langle zero \rangle
```

Can define negation.

```
\begin{array}{l} \mbox{def neg}: E(K) \rightarrow E(K) \\ | \mbox{zero}:= \mbox{zero} \\ | \mbox{(some x y w)}:= \mbox{some x } (-y - E.a_1 * x - E.a_3) \\ \hline \mbox{begin} \\ \mbox{rw} \ [\leftarrow w], \\ \mbox{ring} \\ \mbox{end} \\ \mbox{instance}: \mbox{has_neg} \ E(K) := \end{area} \end{array}
```

Can define K-points.

```
variables {F : Type} [field F] (E : EllipticCurve F) (K : Type) [field K] [algebra F K]

inductive point

| zero

| some (x y : K) (w : y^2 + E.a_1*x*y + E.a_3*y = x^3 + E.a_2*x^2 + E.a_4*x + E.a_6)

notation E(K) := point E K
```

Can define addition.

(日) (部) (注) (注) (三)

Can define K-points.

```
variables {F : Type} [field F] (E : EllipticCurve F) (K : Type) [field K] [algebra F K]

inductive point

| zero

| some (x y : K) (w : y^2 + E.a_1*x*y + E.a_3*y = x^3 + E.a_2*x^2 + E.a_4*x + E.a_6)

notation E(K) := point E K
```

Can prove group axioms

lemma zero_add (P : E(K)) : 0 + P = P := ...
lemma add_zero (P : E(K)) : P + 0 = P := ...
lemma add_left_neg (P : E(K)) : -P + P = 0 := ...
lemma add_comm (P Q : E(K)) : P + Q = Q + P := ... -- 100 lines
lemma add_assoc (P Q R : E(K)) : (P + Q) + R = P + (Q + R) := ... -- ?? lines

Can define K-points.

```
variables {F : Type} [field F] (E : EllipticCurve F) (K : Type) [field K] [algebra F K]

inductive point

| zero

| some (x y : K) (w : y^2 + E.a_1*x*y + E.a_3*y = x^3 + E.a_2*x^2 + E.a_4*x + E.a_6)

notation E(K) := point E K
```

Can prove group axioms (except associativity, which is left as a sorry).

```
lemma zero_add (P : E(K)) : 0 + P = P := ...
lemma add_zero (P : E(K)) : P + 0 = P := ...
lemma add_left_neg (P : E(K)) : -P + P = 0 := ...
lemma add_comm (P Q : E(K)) : P + Q = Q + P := ... -- 100 lines
lemma add_assoc (P Q R : E(K)) : (P + Q) + R = P + (Q + R) := ... -- ?? lines
```

Can define K-points.

```
variables {F : Type} [field F] (E : EllipticCurve F) (K : Type) [field K] [algebra F K]

inductive point

| zero

| some (x y : K) (w : y^2 + E.a_1*x*y + E.a_3*y = x^3 + E.a_2*x^2 + E.a_4*x + E.a_6)

notation E(K) := point E K
```

Can prove group axioms (except associativity, which is left as a sorry).

```
lemma zero_add (P : E(K)) : 0 + P = P := ...
lemma add_zero (P : E(K)) : P + 0 = P := ...
lemma add_left_neg (P : E(K)) : -P + P = 0 := ...
lemma add_comm (P Q : E(K)) : P + Q = Q + P := ... -- 100 lines
lemma add_assoc (P Q R : E(K)) : (P + Q) + R = P + (Q + R) := ... -- ?? lines
```

Can also prove Galois-theoretic properties and structure of torsion points.

Can prove Mordell's theorem by complete 2-descent and naïve heights. Theorem (Mordell)

 $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is finitely generated.

Can prove Mordell's theorem by complete 2-descent and naïve heights. Theorem (Mordell)

 $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is finitely generated.

Proof $(E(\mathbb{Q})/2E(\mathbb{Q})$ finite).

• Reduce to $K \supseteq E[2]$, so that $y^2 = (x - e_1)(x - e_2)(x - e_3)$.

Can prove Mordell's theorem by complete 2-descent and naïve heights. Theorem (Mordell)

 $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is finitely generated.

Proof $(E(\mathbb{Q})/2E(\mathbb{Q})$ finite).

- Reduce to $K \supseteq E[2]$, so that $y^2 = (x e_1)(x e_2)(x e_3)$.
- Define the complete 2-descent homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{cccc} E(K) & \longrightarrow & K^{\times}/(K^{\times})^2 \times K^{\times}/(K^{\times})^2 \\ \mathcal{O} & \longmapsto & (1,1) \\ (x,y) & \longmapsto & (x-e_1,x-e_2) \end{array}$$

.

Can prove Mordell's theorem by complete 2-descent and naïve heights. Theorem (Mordell)

 $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is finitely generated.

Proof $(E(\mathbb{Q})/2E(\mathbb{Q})$ finite).

- Reduce to $K \supseteq E[2]$, so that $y^2 = (x e_1)(x e_2)(x e_3)$.
- Define the complete 2-descent homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{cccc} E(K) & \longrightarrow & K^{\times}/(K^{\times})^2 \times K^{\times}/(K^{\times})^2 \\ \mathcal{O} & \longmapsto & (1,1) \\ (x,y) & \longmapsto & (x-e_1,x-e_2) \end{array}$$

Prove its kernel is 2E(K).

.

Can prove Mordell's theorem by complete 2-descent and naïve heights. Theorem (Mordell)

 $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is finitely generated.

Proof $(E(\mathbb{Q})/2E(\mathbb{Q})$ finite).

- Reduce to $K \supseteq E[2]$, so that $y^2 = (x e_1)(x e_2)(x e_3)$.
- Define the complete 2-descent homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{cccc} E(K) & \longrightarrow & K^{\times}/(K^{\times})^2 \times K^{\times}/(K^{\times})^2 \\ \mathcal{O} & \longmapsto & (1,1) \\ (x,y) & \longmapsto & (x-e_1,x-e_2) \end{array}$$

• Prove its kernel is 2E(K).

Prove its image lies in a Selmer group K(S, 2).

Can prove Mordell's theorem by complete 2-descent and naïve heights. Theorem (Mordell)

 $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is finitely generated.

Proof $(E(\mathbb{Q})/2E(\mathbb{Q})$ finite).

- Reduce to $K \supseteq E[2]$, so that $y^2 = (x e_1)(x e_2)(x e_3)$.
- Define the complete 2-descent homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{cccc} E(K) & \longrightarrow & K^{\times}/(K^{\times})^2 \times K^{\times}/(K^{\times})^2 \\ \mathcal{O} & \longmapsto & (1,1) \\ (x,y) & \longmapsto & (x-e_1,x-e_2) \end{array}$$

• Prove its kernel is 2E(K).

- Prove its image lies in a Selmer group K(S, 2).
- ▶ Prove $0 \to \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}/(\mathcal{O}_K^{\times})^n \to K(\emptyset, n) \to \operatorname{Cl}_K[n] \to 0$ is exact.

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン 一日

Can prove Mordell's theorem by complete 2-descent and naïve heights. Theorem (Mordell)

 $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is finitely generated.

Proof $(E(\mathbb{Q})/2E(\mathbb{Q})$ finite).

- Reduce to $K \supseteq E[2]$, so that $y^2 = (x e_1)(x e_2)(x e_3)$.
- Define the complete 2-descent homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{cccc} E(K) & \longrightarrow & K^{\times}/(K^{\times})^2 \times K^{\times}/(K^{\times})^2 \\ \mathcal{O} & \longmapsto & (1,1) \\ (x,y) & \longmapsto & (x-e_1,x-e_2) \end{array}$$

- Prove its kernel is 2E(K).
- Prove its image lies in a Selmer group K(S, 2).
- ▶ Prove $0 \to \mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}/(\mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times})^{n} \to K(\emptyset, n) \to \operatorname{Cl}_{K}[n] \to 0$ is exact.
- ▶ Prove Cl_K is finite (done) and \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} is finitely generated (soon). \Box

Can prove Mordell's theorem by complete 2-descent and naïve heights. Theorem (Mordell)

 $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is finitely generated.

Proof $(E(\mathbb{Q})/2E(\mathbb{Q})$ finite $\implies E(\mathbb{Q})$ finitely generated).

Define the naïve height

$$\begin{array}{rccc} h & : & E(\mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \\ & & \mathcal{O} & \longmapsto & 0 \\ & & \left(\frac{n}{d}, y\right) & \longmapsto & \log \max(|n|, |d|) \end{array}$$

٠

Can prove Mordell's theorem by complete 2-descent and naïve heights. Theorem (Mordell)

 $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is finitely generated.

Proof $(E(\mathbb{Q})/2E(\mathbb{Q})$ finite $\implies E(\mathbb{Q})$ finitely generated).

Define the naïve height

$$\begin{array}{rccc} h & : & E(\mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \\ & & \mathcal{O} & \longmapsto & 0 \\ & & \left(\frac{n}{d}, y\right) & \longmapsto & \log \max(|n|, |d|) \end{array}$$

▶ Prove $\forall Q \in E(\mathbb{Q}), \exists C \in \mathbb{R}, \forall P \in E(\mathbb{Q}), h(P+Q) \leq 2h(P) + C.$

Can prove Mordell's theorem by complete 2-descent and naïve heights. Theorem (Mordell)

 $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is finitely generated.

Proof $(E(\mathbb{Q})/2E(\mathbb{Q})$ finite $\implies E(\mathbb{Q})$ finitely generated).

Define the naïve height

$$\begin{array}{rccc} h & : & E(\mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \\ & & \mathcal{O} & \longmapsto & 0 \\ & & \left(\frac{n}{d}, y\right) & \longmapsto & \log \max(|n|, |d|) \end{array}$$

Prove ∀Q ∈ E(Q), ∃C ∈ R, ∀P ∈ E(Q), h(P + Q) ≤ 2h(P) + C.
 Prove ∃C ∈ R, ∀P ∈ E(Q), 4h(P) ≤ h(2P) + C.

Can prove Mordell's theorem by complete 2-descent and naïve heights. Theorem (Mordell)

 $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is finitely generated.

Proof $(E(\mathbb{Q})/2E(\mathbb{Q})$ finite $\implies E(\mathbb{Q})$ finitely generated).

Define the naïve height

$$egin{array}{rcl} h & : & E(\mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \ & \mathcal{O} & \longmapsto & 0 \ & \left(rac{n}{d}, y
ight) & \longmapsto & \log\max(|n|, |d|) \end{array}$$

- ▶ Prove $\forall Q \in E(\mathbb{Q}), \exists C \in \mathbb{R}, \forall P \in E(\mathbb{Q}), h(P+Q) \leq 2h(P) + C.$
- ▶ Prove $\exists C \in \mathbb{R}, \forall P \in E(\mathbb{Q}), 4h(P) \leq h(2P) + C$.
- ▶ Prove $\forall C \in \mathbb{R}$, the set $\{P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \mid h(P) \leq C\}$ is finite.

Can prove Mordell's theorem by complete 2-descent and naïve heights. Theorem (Mordell)

 $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is finitely generated.

Proof $(E(\mathbb{Q})/2E(\mathbb{Q})$ finite $\implies E(\mathbb{Q})$ finitely generated).

Define the naïve height

$$egin{array}{rcl} h & : & E(\mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \ & \mathcal{O} & \longmapsto & 0 \ & \left(rac{n}{d}, y
ight) & \longmapsto & \log \max(|n|, |d|) \end{array}$$

- ▶ Prove $\forall Q \in E(\mathbb{Q}), \exists C \in \mathbb{R}, \forall P \in E(\mathbb{Q}), h(P+Q) \leq 2h(P) + C.$
- ▶ Prove $\exists C \in \mathbb{R}, \forall P \in E(\mathbb{Q}), 4h(P) \leq h(2P) + C.$
- ▶ Prove $\forall C \in \mathbb{R}$, the set $\{P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \mid h(P) \leq C\}$ is finite.

▶ Prove the descent theorem (done). □

Can prove Mordell's theorem by complete 2-descent and naïve heights. Theorem (Mordell)

 $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is finitely generated.

Proof $(E(\mathbb{Q})/2E(\mathbb{Q})$ finite $\implies E(\mathbb{Q})$ finitely generated).

Define the naïve height

$$\begin{array}{rccc} h & : & E(\mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \\ & & \mathcal{O} & \longmapsto & 0 \\ & & \left(\frac{n}{d}, y\right) & \longmapsto & \log \max(|n|, |d|) \end{array}$$

- ▶ Prove $\forall Q \in E(\mathbb{Q}), \exists C \in \mathbb{R}, \forall P \in E(\mathbb{Q}), h(P+Q) \leq 2h(P) + C.$
- ▶ Prove $\exists C \in \mathbb{R}, \forall P \in E(\mathbb{Q}), 4h(P) \leq h(2P) + C.$
- ▶ Prove $\forall C \in \mathbb{R}$, the set $\{P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \mid h(P) \leq C\}$ is finite.
- ▶ Prove the descent theorem (done). □

Can finally define the algebraic rank of $E(\mathbb{Q})$.

Here are some recent developments.

Here are some recent developments.

- Quadratic reciprocity
- Hensel's lemma

Here are some recent developments.

- Quadratic reciprocity
- Hensel's lemma
- ► UF in Dedekind domains
- ▶ $\#Cl_{\mathcal{K}} < \infty$ for global fields

Here are some recent developments.

- Quadratic reciprocity
- Hensel's lemma
- ► UF in Dedekind domains
- ▶ $\#Cl_{\mathcal{K}} < \infty$ for global fields
- Adèles and idèles
- Statement of global CFT

Here are some recent developments.

Completed:

- Quadratic reciprocity
- Hensel's lemma
- UF in Dedekind domains
- ▶ $\#Cl_{\mathcal{K}} < \infty$ for global fields
- Adèles and idèles
- Statement of global CFT
- L-series of arithmetic functions

(日) (部) (注) (注) (三)

51 / 56

Bernoulli polynomials

Here are some recent developments.

- Quadratic reciprocity
- Hensel's lemma
- UF in Dedekind domains
- ▶ $\#Cl_{\mathcal{K}} < \infty$ for global fields
- Adèles and idèles
- Statement of global CFT
- L-series of arithmetic functions
- Bernoulli polynomials
- Perfectoid spaces
- Liquid tensor experiment

Here are some recent developments.

Completed:

- Quadratic reciprocity
- Hensel's lemma
- UF in Dedekind domains
- $\#Cl_{\mathcal{K}} < \infty$ for global fields
- Adèles and idèles
- Statement of global CFT
- L-series of arithmetic functions
- Bernoulli polynomials
- Perfectoid spaces
- Liquid tensor experiment

Ongoing:

- S-unit theorem (HELP)
- ► FLT for regular primes
- p-adic L-functions
- \blacktriangleright B_{dR}, B_{HT}, and B_{cris}

Here are some recent developments.

Completed:

- Quadratic reciprocity
- Hensel's lemma
- UF in Dedekind domains
- $\#Cl_{\mathcal{K}} < \infty$ for global fields
- Adèles and idèles
- Statement of global CFT
- L-series of arithmetic functions
- Bernoulli polynomials
- Perfectoid spaces
- Liquid tensor experiment

Ongoing:

- S-unit theorem (HELP)
- ► FLT for regular primes
- p-adic L-functions
- \blacktriangleright B_{dR}, B_{HT}, and B_{cris}
- Modular forms
- Étale cohomology

(日) (部) (注) (注) (三)

54/56

Local CFT

Here are some recent developments.

Completed:

- Quadratic reciprocity
- Hensel's lemma
- UF in Dedekind domains
- $\#Cl_{\mathcal{K}} < \infty$ for global fields
- Adèles and idèles
- Statement of global CFT
- L-series of arithmetic functions
- Bernoulli polynomials
- Perfectoid spaces
- Liquid tensor experiment

Ongoing:

- S-unit theorem (HELP)
- ► FLT for regular primes
- p-adic L-functions
- \blacktriangleright B_{dR}, B_{HT}, and B_{cris}
- Modular forms
- Étale cohomology
- Local CFT
- Statement of BSD
- Statement of GAGA
- Statement of R=T

(日) (部) (注) (注) (三)

Thank you!

Check out the leanprover community!