University College London

Study group on the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer

#### Kolyvagin's theorem

David Ang

Wednesday, 13 March 2024

<ロ ト < 部 ト < 言 ト < 言 ト 三 の Q (や 1/16

### Some recapitulation

Let *E* be a rational elliptic curve of conductor *N*, and let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$  be an imaginary quadratic field satisfying the **Heegner hypothesis** 

 $\ell \mid N \implies \ell \text{ is split in } K.$ 

For any n coprime to N, define a **Heegner point of conductor** n

$$P_n := \Phi_N(\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{O}_n, \mathbb{C}/\mathcal{N}_n) \in E(H_n).$$

For any  $\ell$  coprime to *nN* that is inert in *K*, there are norm compatibilities

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{H_n}^{H_{n\ell}} P_{n\ell} = a_\ell P_n$$

These form a **Heegner system for** (E, K).

Furthermore, define the basic Heegner point

$$P_{\mathcal{K}} := \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{K}}^{H_1}(P_1) \in E(\mathcal{K}).$$

# Application to BSD

We will do the following next week:

Theorem (Gross–Zagier '86) There is an explicit constant  $\alpha \neq 0$  such that  $L'(E/K, 1) = \alpha \cdot \hat{h}(P_K)$ .

We will do the following this week:

Theorem (Kolyvagin '90) If  $\hat{h}(P_{\kappa}) \neq 0$ , then  $\operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(K) = 1$  and  $\#\operatorname{III}(E/K) < \infty$ . In particular,  $E(K)_{/\operatorname{tors}} = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \frac{1}{n} P_{\kappa}$ .

This almost proves the following:

Corollary (of Gross–Zagier '86, Kolyvagin '90, etc) If  $\operatorname{ord}_{s=1}L(E,s) \leq 1$ , then  $\operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}E(\mathbb{Q}) = \operatorname{ord}_{s=1}L(E,s)$  and  $\#\operatorname{III}(E) < \infty$ . The missing ingredient is the existence of K.

### Existence of Heegner fields

Let  $-\epsilon$  be the sign in the functional equation

$$\Lambda(E,s) = -\epsilon \cdot \Lambda(E,2-s).$$

Theorem (Waldspurger '85, Murty–Murty '97) If  $\epsilon = +$ , there are many imaginary quadratic fields  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ satisfying the Heegner hypothesis such that  $\operatorname{ord}_{s=1}L(E_D, s) = 0$ . In particular,

$$\operatorname{ord}_{s=1}L(E,s) = \operatorname{ord}_{s=1}L(E/K,s).$$

Theorem (Bump–Friedberg–Hoffstein '90, Murty–Murty '91) If  $\epsilon = -$ , there are many imaginary quadratic fields  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ satisfying the Heegner hypothesis such that  $\operatorname{ord}_{s=1}L(E_D, s) = 1$ . In particular,

$$\operatorname{ord}_{s=1}L(E,s) = \operatorname{ord}_{s=1}L(E/K,s) - 1.$$

# Complex conjugation on Heegner points

Lemma  $(\tau)$ 

Complex conjugation  $\tau$  maps  $P_n \in E(H_n)_{/\mathrm{tors}}$  to

$$\tau(P_n) = \epsilon \cdot \sigma(P_n),$$

for some  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(H_n/K)$ .

#### Proof.

Note that  $\epsilon$  is precisely the eigenvalue of the Fricke involution  $w_N$  on the eigenform  $f_E$  associated to E. On the other hand,

$$w_N(\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{O}_n,\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{N}_n)=(\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{N}_n^{-1},\mathbb{C}/\overline{\mathcal{N}_n}),$$

which differs from  $\tau(\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{O}_n, \mathbb{C}/\mathcal{N}_n)$  by some  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(H_n/K) \cong \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ . Now apply  $\Phi_N$  and the Manin–Drinfeld theorem.

In particular,  $P_{\mathcal{K}} \in E(\mathbb{Q})_{/\text{tors}}$  precisely if  $\epsilon = +$ .

## Proof of Gross-Zagier-Kolyvagin

Proof of BSD for  $\operatorname{ord}_{s=1}L(E, s) \leq 1$ .

The functional equation says that  $L(E, 1) = -\epsilon \cdot L(E, 1)$  and  $L'(E, 1) = \epsilon \cdot L'(E, 1)$ . Since  $\operatorname{ord}_{s=1}L(E, s) \leq 1$ ,

$$\operatorname{ord}_{s=1} \mathcal{L}(E, s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \epsilon = + \\ 0 & \epsilon = - \end{cases}$$

Choose any imaginary quadratic field K satisfying the Heegner hypothesis such that  $\operatorname{ord}_{s=1} L(E/K, s) = 1$ , which exists by W/MM and BFH/MM. By Gross-Zagier and Kolyvagin,  $E(K)_{/\text{tors}} = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \frac{1}{n} P_K$ . By Lemma  $(\tau)$ ,

$$\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \epsilon = +\\ 0 & \epsilon = - \end{cases}$$

Finally,  $\# III(E) < \infty$  follows from  $\# III(E/K) < \infty$  by Kolyvagin.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 三日

# A weaker version of Kolyvagin

Theorem (Kolyvagin '90) If  $\hat{h}(P_{\mathcal{K}}) \neq 0$ , then  $\operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathcal{K}) = 1$  and  $\#\operatorname{III}(E/\mathcal{K}) < \infty$ . For any prime  $\ell$ ,

 $0 \to E(K)/\ell E(K) \xrightarrow{\delta} \operatorname{Sel}_{\ell}(E/K) \to \operatorname{III}(E/K)[\ell] \to 0.$ 

Choose any prime  $\ell \nmid 6ND$  such that  $\overline{\rho_{E,\ell}}$  is surjective and  $P_K \notin \ell E(K)$ . Then  $E(K)[\ell] = 0$ , so  $\operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(K) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} E(K)/\ell E(K)$ .

Theorem (weak Kolyvagin '90)  $\operatorname{Sel}_{\ell}(E/K) = \mathbb{F}_{\ell} \cdot \delta(P_K)$ , so  $\operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(K) \leq 1$  and  $\# \operatorname{III}(E/K)[\ell] < \infty$ .

When *E* has no complex multiplication, this excludes finitely many primes by Serre's theorem, so this proves that  $\hat{h}(P_K) \neq 0$  implies  $\operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(K) = 1$ . Kolyvagin proves  $\#\operatorname{III}(E/K) < \infty$  by refining the argument for these primes and bounding the  $\ell$ -primary components using Iwasawa theory.

#### Selmer structures

Let *M* be a discrete finite irreducible self-dual  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}[G_{\kappa}]$ -module.

The inflation-restriction exact sequence says

$$0 \to H^1(G_p^{\mathrm{ur}}, M^{I_p}) \to H^1(K_p, M) \to H^1(I_p, M)^{G_p^{\mathrm{ur}}} \to 0.$$

For  $M = E[\ell]$  and good  $p \nmid \ell$ , this can be identified with

$$0 \to E(K_p)/\ell E(K_p) \to H^1(K_p, E[\ell]) \to H^1(K_p, E)[\ell] \to 0.$$

More generally, a **Selmer structure** for (K, M) is an assignment

$$p \longmapsto H^1_f(K_p, M) \subseteq H^1(K_p, M),$$

such that  $H_f^1(K_p, M) = H^1(G_p^{\text{ur}}, M^{l_p})$  for all but finitely many places p of K. Its associated **singular quotient**  $H_s^1(K_p, M)$  sits in

$$0 \to H^1_f(K_p, M) \to H^1(K_p, M) \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^s} H^1_s(K_p, M) \to 0.$$

### Selmer groups

The **Selmer group** Sel := Sel(K, M) sits in

$$0 \to \operatorname{Sel}(K, M) \to H^1(K, M) \xrightarrow{\prod_p (\cdot)_p^s} \prod_p H^1_s(K_p, M).$$

For  $M = E[\ell]$  and  $H^1_f(K_p, M) = E(K_p)/\ell E(K_p)$ , this is just  $\operatorname{Sel}_\ell(E/K)$ .

Let S be a finite set of places of K.

▶ The relaxed Selmer group  $Sel^{S} := Sel^{S}(K, M)$  sits in

$$0 \to \operatorname{Sel}(K,M) \to \operatorname{Sel}^S(K,M) \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{p \in S} (\cdot)_p^s} \bigoplus_{p \in S} H^1_s(K_p,M).$$

• The restricted Selmer group  $Sel_S := Sel_S(K, M)$  sits in

$$0 \to \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathcal{S}}(K, M) \to \operatorname{Sel}(K, M) \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{S}} (\cdot)_p} \bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{S}} H^1_f(K_p, M).$$

9 / 16

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 三日

# Duality of Selmer groups

Corollary (of Tate duality)

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 \ \longrightarrow \ \mathrm{Sel} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathrm{Sel}^{\mathcal{S}} \ \longrightarrow \ \bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{S}} H^1_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{K}_p, \mathcal{M}) \\ & \underset{p \in \mathcal{S}}{\overset{||}{\longrightarrow}} H^1_f(\mathcal{K}_p, \mathcal{M})^{\vee} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathrm{Sel}^{\vee} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathrm{Sel}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\vee} \ \longrightarrow \ 0. \end{array}$$

#### Proof.

Local Tate duality gives a perfect pairing  $H^1_s(K_p, M) \times H^1_f(K_p, M) \to \mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ . The Poitou-Tate exact sequence gives exactness at

$$\operatorname{Sel}^{\mathsf{S}} \to \bigoplus_{p \in \mathsf{S}} H^1(K_p, M) \to \operatorname{Sel}^{\mathsf{S} \vee}.$$

Now apply the snake lemma and diagram chase.

## Complex conjugation on Selmer groups

To compute Sel, it suffices to consider the last three terms

$$0 \to \operatorname{coker} \left( \operatorname{Sel}^{\mathsf{S}} \to \bigoplus_{\rho \in \mathsf{S}} H^1_{\mathsf{s}}(K_{\rho}, M) \right) \to \operatorname{Sel}^{\vee} \to \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathsf{S}}^{\vee} \to 0,$$

for some appropriate finite set of places S of K.

If  $au \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is an involution with non-zero eigenspaces  $M^+$  and  $M^-$ , then

$$\begin{split} 0 &\to \operatorname{coker} \left( \operatorname{Sel}^{S_{1}+} \to \bigoplus_{p \in S_{1}} H^{1}_{s}(K_{p}, M)^{+} \right) \to \operatorname{Sel}^{+\vee} \to \operatorname{Sel}^{+\vee}_{S_{1}} \to 0, \\ 0 &\to \operatorname{coker} \left( \operatorname{Sel}^{S_{2}-} \to \bigoplus_{p \in S_{2}} H^{1}_{s}(K_{p}, M)^{-} \right) \to \operatorname{Sel}^{-\vee} \to \operatorname{Sel}^{-\vee}_{S_{2}} \to 0, \end{split}$$

for some appropriate finite sets of places  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  of K.

## Computing Selmer groups

Now consider  $M = E[\ell]$ .

#### Corollary (of Chebotarev density)

There is a finite set S of primes of  $\mathbb{Q}$  inert in K such that

$$\operatorname{coker}\left(\underbrace{\operatorname{Sel}^{S-\epsilon}}_{\bigoplus_{p\in S}\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\cdot c(p)_{p}^{s}}\rightarrow \bigoplus_{p\in S}\underbrace{H^{1}(K_{p},E)[\ell]^{-\epsilon}}_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\cdot c(p)_{p}^{s}}\right)\rightarrow \operatorname{Sel}^{-\epsilon\vee}\rightarrow \underbrace{\operatorname{Sel}_{S}^{-\epsilon\vee}}_{0}.$$

For any  $p \in S$ , there is a finite set  $S_p$  of primes of  $\mathbb{Q}$  inert in K such that

$$\operatorname{coker}\left(\underbrace{\operatorname{Sel}^{S_{\rho}\epsilon}}_{\bigoplus_{q\in S_{\rho}}\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\cdot c(pq)_{q}^{s}}\rightarrow \bigoplus_{q\in S_{\rho}}\underbrace{H^{1}(K_{\rho},E)[\ell]^{\epsilon}}_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\cdot c(pq)_{q}^{s}}\right)\rightarrow \operatorname{Sel}^{\epsilon\vee}\rightarrow \underbrace{\operatorname{Sel}^{\epsilon\vee}}_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\cdot \delta(P_{K})}\rightarrow 0.$$

#### Proof.

Chebotarev density and a lot of Galois cohomology.

#### Derivative operators

The classes  $c(n) \in H^1(K, E[\ell])$  are derived from  $P_n \in E(H_n)$ .

It suffices to let *n* be a product of primes  $p \nmid ND\ell$  inert in *K*, so

$$\operatorname{Gal}(H_n/H_1) \cong \prod_{p|n} \operatorname{Gal}(H_p/H_1) \cong \prod_{p|n} \mathbb{Z}/(p+1)\mathbb{Z} \cdot \sigma_p.$$

Define the **derivative operator**  $D_n \in \mathbb{Z}[\operatorname{Gal}(H_n/H_1)]$  by

$$D_n:=\prod_{p\mid n}D_p,$$

where  $D_p$  is any solution to  $(\sigma_p-1)D_p=p+1-\mathrm{Tr}_{H_1}^{H_p}$ , and define

$$\mathcal{P}_n := \sum_{\tau \in T_n} \tau(D_n P_n),$$

where  $T_n$  is a set of coset representatives for  $\operatorname{Gal}(H_n/H_1)$  in  $\operatorname{Gal}(H_n/K)$ .

## Derived classes

#### Lemma

The class of  $\mathcal{P}_n$  in  $E(H_n)/\ell E(H_n)$  is invariant under the action of  $G_n := \operatorname{Gal}(H_n/K)$  and lies in the  $\epsilon_n := \epsilon \cdot (-1)^{\sigma_0(n)}$  eigenspace.

#### Proof.

Norm compatibilities and Lemma  $(\tau)$ .

Define the **derived class**  $c(n) \in H^1(K, E[\ell])^{\epsilon_n}$  by  $\operatorname{res}_n(c(n)) = \delta_n(\mathcal{P}_n)$  in

$$\begin{array}{c} H^{1}(G_{n}, E(H_{n})[\ell])^{\epsilon_{n}} = 0 \\ & \downarrow^{\inf_{n}} \end{array} \\ H^{1}_{f}(K, E[\ell])^{\epsilon_{n}} \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{1}(K, E[\ell])^{\epsilon_{n}} \xrightarrow{\downarrow} H^{1}_{s}(K, E[\ell])^{\epsilon_{n}} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow^{\operatorname{res}_{n}} \qquad \downarrow \end{array} \\ H^{1}_{f}(H_{n}, E[\ell])^{G_{n}\epsilon_{n}} \xrightarrow{\delta_{n}} H^{1}(H_{n}, E[\ell])^{G_{n}\epsilon_{n}} \xrightarrow{\downarrow} H^{1}_{s}(H_{n}, E[\ell])^{G_{n}\epsilon_{n}} \\ \downarrow^{\operatorname{tra}_{n}} \\ H^{2}(G_{n}, E(H_{n})[\ell])^{\epsilon_{n}} = 0 \end{array}$$

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 三日

# Ramification of derived classes

#### Lemma

- 1. If  $p \nmid n$ , then  $c(n)_p^s = 0$ , so  $c(n) \in \operatorname{Sel}^{\{p|n\}\epsilon_n}$ .
- 2. If  $p \mid n$ , then  $c(n)_p^s = 0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{P}_{n/p} \in \ell E(K_p)$ .

### Proof of 1 for good $p \nmid \ell$ .

Note that  $H^1_s(I_p, E[\ell]) = \operatorname{Hom}(I_p, E[\ell])^{G_p^{ur}}$ . Since  $(H_n)_p/K$  is unramified at p, the inertia subgroups of  $K_p$  and  $(H_n)_p$  are both  $I_p$ , so

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{1}_{f}(\mathcal{K}_{p}, E[\ell]) & \longrightarrow & H^{1}(\mathcal{K}_{p}, E[\ell]) \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^{s}} & \operatorname{Hom}(I_{p}, E[\ell]) \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow^{\operatorname{res}_{n}} & & || \\ H^{1}_{f}((H_{n})_{p}, E[\ell]) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{n}} & H^{1}((H_{n})_{p}, E[\ell]) \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^{s}} & \operatorname{Hom}(I_{p}, E[\ell]) \end{array}$$

Thus  $c(n)_p^s = (res_n(c(n)_p))^s = 0$  by exactness.

Note that 2 is precisely the reason for the assumption  $P_K \notin \ell E(K)$ .

## References

Different accounts of Kolyvagin's paper on Euler systems:

- K Rubin (1989) The work of Kolyvagin on the arithmetic of elliptic curves
- B Gross (1991) Kolyvagin's work on modular elliptic curves
- ▶ T Weston (2001) The Euler system of Heegner points
- ▶ H Darmon (2004) Rational points on modular elliptic curves

Relevant papers on non-vanishing of L-functions:

- J-L Waldspurger (1985) Sur les valeurs de certaines fonctions L automorphes en leur centre de symetrie
- D Bump, S Friedberg, and J Hoffstein (1990) Nonvanishing theorems for L-functions of modular forms and their derivatives
- M R Murty and V K Murty (1991) Mean values of derivatives of modular L-series
- M R Murty and V K Murty (1997) Non-vanishing of L-functions and applications