

# Rank heuristics for elliptic curves<sup>1</sup>

Part III Seminar Series

David Kurniadi Angdinata

University of Cambridge

Friday, 4 December 2020

---

<sup>1</sup>partially based on the VaNTAGe seminar on 'Heuristics for the arithmetic of elliptic curves' by Bjorn Poonen on 1 September 2020

# Elliptic curves

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over a number field  $K$ .

## Theorem (Mordell–Weil)

$E(K)$  is a finitely generated abelian group of the form

$$E(K) \cong \text{tor}(E/K) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\text{rk}(E/K)}.$$

The **torsion subgroup**  $\text{tor}(E/K)$  is effectively computable.

## Theorem (Lutz–Nagell)

If  $(x, y) \in \text{tor}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ , then  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$  and either  $y = 0$  or  $y^2 \mid \Delta(E/\mathbb{Q})$ .

## Theorem (Mazur, Kamienny, Merel)

There are finitely many possibilities for  $\text{tor}(E/K)$ .

# Elliptic curves

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over a number field  $K$ .

## Theorem (Mordell–Weil)

$E(K)$  is a finitely generated abelian group of the form

$$E(K) \cong \text{tor}(E/K) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\text{rk}(E/K)}.$$

The **rank**  $\text{rk}(E/K)$  is computationally harder and more mysterious.

## Conjecture (Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer)

If  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = \text{rk}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ .

## Theorem (Kolyvagin)

BSD holds for modular elliptic curves with analytic rank zero and one.

# Rank distribution conjecture

How is the rank distributed?

Consider the set  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q})$  of unique minimal representatives of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , ordered by the height function

$$H(E : y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B) = \max(4|A|^3, 27|B|^2).$$

## Conjecture (Rank distribution)

*The average rank of  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q})$  is  $\frac{1}{2}$ .*

## Theorem (Bhargava–Shankar 2015)

*The average rank of  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q})$  is at most  $\frac{7}{6}$ .*

Combining these shows that BSD holds for a positive proportion of  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q})$  (Kolyvagin 1989, Breuil–Conrad–Diamond–Taylor 2001, Nekovář 2009, Dokchitser–Dokchitser 2010, Skinner–Urban 2015).

# Rank boundedness conjecture

Is the rank bounded? Probably not...

## Conjecture (Rank boundedness)

*There are  $E \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q})$  of arbitrarily large rank.*

## Theorem (Shafarevich–Tate 1967, Ulmer 2002)

*There are  $E \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_p(T))$  of arbitrarily large rank.*

## Theorem (Elkies 2006)

*There is  $E \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q})$  with rank at least 28.*

## Theorem (Elkies–Klagsbrun 2020)

*There is  $E \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q})$  with rank exactly 20.*

Many proponents (Cassels 1966, Tate 1974, Mestre 1982, Silverman 1986, Brumer 1992, Ulmer 2002, Farmer–Gonek–Hughes 2007).

# Rank boundedness conjecture

Is the rank bounded? Probably!

## Conjecture (Poonen et al<sup>2 3 4</sup>)

*There are finitely many  $E \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q})$  with rank greater than 21.*

- ▶ Model  $p^e$ -Selmer groups using intersection of quadratic submodules.
- ▶ Model Tate–Shafarevich groups using matrices with a fixed rank.
- ▶ Model the Mordell–Weil rank using matrices without fixing the rank.

A few others also predict boundedness (Néron 1950, Honda 1960, Rubin–Silverberg 2000, Granville 2006, Watkins 2015).

---

<sup>2</sup>B. Poonen and E. Rains. 'Random maximal isotropic subspaces and Selmer groups'. In: J. Amer. Math. Soc 25 (2012)

<sup>3</sup>M. Bhargava, D. Kane, H. Lenstra, B. Poonen and E. Rains. 'Modelling the distribution of ranks, Selmer groups, and Shafarevich–Tate groups of elliptic curves'. In: Camb. J. Math. 3 (2015)

<sup>4</sup>J. Park, B. Poonen, J. Voight and M. Wood. 'A heuristic for boundedness of ranks of elliptic curves'. In: J. Eur. Math. Soc (2019)

# The Selmer and Tate–Shafarevich groups

Multiplication by  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  gives

$$0 \rightarrow E[n] \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{[n]} E \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$  cohomology gives

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E(K)[n] & \longrightarrow & E(K) & \longrightarrow & E(K) \\ & & & & \delta & & \\ & & \searrow & & & & \\ & & H^1(K, E[n]) & \rightarrow & H^1(K, E) & \rightarrow & H^1(K, E) \rightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

Truncating at  $H^1(K, E[n])$  gives a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E(K)/n \rightarrow H^1(K, E[n]) \rightarrow H^1(K, E)[n] \rightarrow 0.$$

Similarly, there are short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow E(K_v)/n \rightarrow H^1(K_v, E[n]) \rightarrow H^1(K_v, E)[n] \rightarrow 0.$$

# The Selmer and Tate–Shafarevich groups

There is a row-exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E(K)/n & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, E[n]) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, E)[n] \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \lambda \downarrow & \searrow \sigma & \downarrow \tau[n] \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \prod_v E(K_v)/n & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & \prod_v H^1(K_v, E[n]) & \rightarrow & \prod_v H^1(K_v, E)[n] \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The  $n$ -Selmer group is

$$\text{Sel}_n(E/K) = \ker(\sigma : H^1(K, E[n]) \rightarrow \prod_v H^1(K_v, E)[n]).$$

The Tate–Shafarevich group is

$$\text{III}(E/K) = \ker(\tau : H^1(K, E) \rightarrow \prod_v H^1(K_v, E)).$$

There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E(K)/n \rightarrow \text{Sel}_n(E/K) \rightarrow \text{III}(E/K)[n] \rightarrow 0.$$

# Modelling $p^e$ -Selmer groups

## Theorem

For almost all  $E \in \mathcal{E}(K)$ , the  $p^e$ -Selmer group  $\text{Sel}_{p^e}(E/K)$  is the intersection of two maximal totally isotropic direct summands in a non-degenerate quadratic  $\mathbb{Z}/p^e$ -module of infinite rank.

Consider  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^e)^{2n}$ , equipped with hyperbolic quadratic form

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i,$$

with two MTIDS's  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^e)^n \oplus 0^n$  and  $0^n \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/p^e)^n$ .

The result was known for a finite-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  (Colliot-Thélène–Skorobogatov–Swinnerton-Dyer 2002).

# Modelling $p^e$ -Selmer groups

By the first isomorphism theorem,

$$\mathrm{Sel}_n(E/K)/\ker \lambda \cong \mathrm{im} \kappa \cap \mathrm{im} \lambda.$$

## Theorem

For almost all  $E \in \mathcal{E}(K)$ , the  $p^e$ -Selmer group  $\mathrm{Sel}_{p^e}(E/K)$  is the intersection of two maximal totally isotropic direct summands in a non-degenerate quadratic  $\mathbb{Z}/p^e$ -module of infinite rank.

## Conjecture

The distribution of  $\mathrm{Sel}_{p^e}(E/\mathbb{Q})$  coincides with the distribution of  $S_1 \cap S_2$  for two randomly chosen MTIDS's  $S_1, S_2 \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}/p^e)^{2n}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

- ▶ Variant for function fields is known (Feng–Landesman–Rains 2020).
- ▶ Variant for quadratic twist families over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is known for  $p^e = 2$  (Heath-Brown 1994, Swinnerton-Dyer 2008, Kane 2013).
- ▶ Average of  $\#(S_1 \cap S_2)$  is  $\sigma_1(p^e)$ , and average of  $\# \mathrm{Sel}_{p^e}(E/\mathbb{Q})$  is  $\sigma_1(p^e)$  for  $p^e \leq 5$  (Bhargava–Shankar 2013-2015).

## Modelling short exact sequences

Recall that

$$0 \rightarrow E(K)/n \rightarrow \text{Sel}_n(E/K) \rightarrow \text{III}(E/K)[n] \rightarrow 0.$$

Setting  $n = p^e$  and taking direct limits gives

$$0 \rightarrow E(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \varinjlim_e \text{Sel}_{p^e}(E/K) \rightarrow \text{III}(E/K)[p^\infty] \rightarrow 0.$$

Randomly choosing two MTIDS's  $S_1, S_2 \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}_p)^{2n}$  gives

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{R} = (S_1 \cap S_2) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\mathcal{S} = (S_1 \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \cap (S_2 \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ .

- ▶ Both  $\varinjlim_e \text{Sel}_{p^e}(E/K)$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  are compatible with  $p^e$ -parts.
- ▶ Both  $\text{III}(E/K)[p^\infty]$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  are finite with an alternating pairing.
- ▶ Both  $E(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  satisfy the rank distribution conjecture.
- ▶ Variant for quadratic twist families is known for  $p = 2$  (Smith 2020).

# Modelling Tate–Shafarevich groups

The rank distribution conjecture gives

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(S_1 \cap S_2) = 0) = \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(S_1 \cap S_2) = 1) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

If  $r \geq 2$ , then

$$\{S_1, S_2 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^{2n} : \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(S_1 \cap S_2) = r\}$$

has measure zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Instead choose  $M$  randomly from

$$\{M \in \mathrm{Mat}_n \mathbb{Z}_p : M^T = -M, \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\ker M) = r\}, \quad n \equiv r \pmod{2},$$

and let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Use distribution of  $\mathrm{tor}(\mathrm{coker} M)$  to model  $\mathcal{T}$ .

- ▶ Coincides with original  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{2n}$  distribution for  $\mathcal{T}$  for rank zero and one.
- ▶ Coincides with Delaunay's distribution for  $\mathrm{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty]$  (Delaunay–Jouhet 2000–2014).

# Modelling ranks

How to model an elliptic curve  $E$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of height  $h$ ?

- ▶ Choose functions  $X : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $Y : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$X(x)^{Y(x)} = x^{\frac{1}{12} + o(1)}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

- ▶ Choose  $n$  randomly from  $\{\lceil Y(h) \rceil, \lceil Y(h) \rceil + 1\}$ .
- ▶ Choose  $M$  randomly from

$$\{M \in \text{Mat}_n \mathbb{Z} : M^\top = -M, M_{ij} \leq X(h)\}.$$

- ▶ Model  $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$  by  $\text{tor}(\text{coker } M)$  and  $\text{rk}(E/\mathbb{Q})$  by  $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\ker M)$ .

Conditions are chosen such that the average size of

$$\#\text{coker}'_0 M = \begin{cases} \#\text{tor}(\text{coker } M) & \text{if } \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\ker M) = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\ker M) > 0, \end{cases}$$

is  $h^{1/12+o(1)}$ . The same is predicted for  $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$  by strong BSD.

# Modelling ranks

Denote the model for  $\text{rk}(E/\mathbb{Q})$  by  $\text{rk}'(E/\mathbb{Q})$ .

## Theorem (Poonen et al)

*The following hold with probability 1.*

$$\#\{E \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}) : H(E) \leq h, \text{rk}'(E/\mathbb{Q}) = 0\} = h^{20/24+o(1)}$$

$$\#\{E \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}) : H(E) \leq h, \text{rk}'(E/\mathbb{Q}) = 1\} = h^{20/24+o(1)}$$

$$\#\{E \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}) : H(E) \leq h, \text{rk}'(E/\mathbb{Q}) \geq 2\} = h^{19/24+o(1)}$$

⋮

$$\#\{E \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}) : H(E) \leq h, \text{rk}'(E/\mathbb{Q}) \geq 20\} = h^{1/24+o(1)}$$

$$\#\{E \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}) : H(E) \leq h, \text{rk}'(E/\mathbb{Q}) \geq 21\} \leq h^{o(1)}$$

$\#\{E \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}) : \text{rk}'(E/\mathbb{Q}) > 21\}$  is finite.